|  |
| --- |
| **NHS Halton Clinical Commissioning Group****NHS Liverpool Clinical Commissioning Group****NHS St Helens Clinical Commissioning Group****NHS South Sefton Clinical Commissioning Group****NHS Southport and Formby Clinical Commissioning Group****NHS Warrington Clinical Commissioning Group** |
| **Continuous Glucose Monitors (CGM)** |
| You can see your blood glucose level every few minutes with a continuous glucose monitor (CGM). It lets you see patterns in your levels and warns you if your glucose is too high or low.A CGM is made up of:* a sensor – a small device you attach to your abdomen – it senses how much glucose is in the fluid under your skin
* a transmitter – attached to the sensor – it sends results to a receiver
* a receiver – a small box that displays your blood glucose level – you can carry this on your belt or in your bag

A sensor usually lasts for 14 days. Some are implanted and worn for 6 months.The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) states there isn't enough evidence to show CGMs are cost-effective enough for everyone with type 1 diabetes. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Intervention** | **Continuous Glucose Monitoring** |
| **Policy Statement** | **Restricted** |
| **Minimum eligibility criteria** | **Adults with type 1 diabetes**CGM is not routinely commissioned. CGM will only be considered for patients when the following criteria are met:* Already established for at least 3 months on a continuous subcutaneous insulin pump of high specification in strict accordance with NICE appraisal TAG 151 and the local insulin pump policy.

**AND*** Managed by a recognised adult specialist centre of expertise. This will have a multidisciplinary team comprising a trained diabetes nurse specialist, physician and dietician with all patients trained to count carbohydrates.

**AND*** Willing to commit to using CGM at least 70% of the time and to calibrate it as needed.

**PLUS*** HbA1c ≥75 mmol/mol (9%)that persists despite blood glucose testing at least 10 times a day\*\*

**OR** * Experiencing more than one severe hypoglycaemic episode a year with no obviously preventable precipitating cause. (Severe hypoglycaemia is generally recognised as hypoglycaemia involving convulsions/ unconsciousness)

**OR** * Experiencing more than 2 episodes of hypoglycaemia per week that the patient has been unable to manage themselves and are causing problems with daily activities.

**OR*** Complete loss of awareness of hypoglycaemia

**OR** * Inability to recognise or communicate about symptoms of hypoglycaemia e.g. because of cognitive or neurological disabilities where other forms of glucose monitoring are not appropriate.

**Pregnancy**CGM is not routinely commissioned in pregnancy unless all criteria for CGM in adults are met. Where CGM in pregnancy is used, funding is **only** for the duration of the pregnancy. Insulin doses are reduced to pre-pregnancy levels as soon as the baby is delivered and CGM should not be continued beyond this point.**FOR ALL PATIENTS**A CGM system with a low Mean Absolute Relative Difference (MARD) value should be chosen. Where there is a CGM system with alarm function that will integrate and communicate directly with the patient’s established insulin pump, then this CGM system should generally be used. However, an appropriate real-time Dexcom CGM system with alarm function may be considered for patients using other insulin pumps, or those individuals where the integrated system is not the most clinically appropriate CGM system. **The device should be withdrawn from patients who fail to achieve a clinically significant response after 6 months\*.** There should also be an annual review to assure the clinically significant response is maintained and that CGM is still the most appropriate method of glucose monitoring for the patient.Consideration should be given to switching to an integrated insulin pump/CGM system when seeking to replace the insulin pump at warranty expiry, if appropriate.**Children and young people with type 1 diabetes**CGM is not routinely commissioned. CGM will only be considered for patients when the following criteria are met:* Currently using a continuous subcutaneous insulin pump of high specification, in strict accordance with NICE appraisal TAG 151 and the local insulin pump policy.

**AND*** When provided by a specialist centre with a multidisciplinary team including an active member who attends at least 67% (2/3) of the North West children and young people's diabetes network meetings. In addition, the specialist centre is achieving best practice tariff in paediatric diabetes and is also engaged with the national peer review programme in paediatric diabetes, to monitor the quality of its service.

**AND*** Willing to commit to using CGM at least 70% of the time and to calibrate it as needed.

**PLUS** * Experiencing more than 2 episodes per week of severe hypoglycaemia. This is defined as having low blood glucose levels that require assistance from another person to treat and that are happening often enough to have a significant impact on school work or quality of life.

**OR*** Inability to recognise or communicate about symptoms of hypoglycaemia e.g. because of cognitive or neurological disabilities, or less than 4 years of age.

**OR*** Impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia which is associated with significant adverse consequences e.g. seizures or severe anxiety.

Prior to transition to adult services, the child should be counselled on the transition process and advised that their CGM will be reviewed as part of the transition and their ongoing adult diabetes care. On transition to adult services there should be a review to assure there is still a clinically significant response\* and that CGM is still the most appropriate method of glucose monitoring for the patient.**Ongoing continuation of CGM**\* A clinically significant response is considered to be:• When the patient demonstrates wearing the sensor for at least 70% of the time.**PLUS**• A reduction in the frequency and/or severity of hypoglycaemic episodes.**OR**• A reduction in the need for third party intervention during hypoglycaemic episodes.**AND/OR**• Achievement of a clinically significant reduction in HbA1c, that demonstrates the patient is moving towards their individually agreed HbA1c target.\*\*Where CGM is initiated due to hyperglycaemia in adults, it should only be continued longer-term if HbA1c can be sustained at or below 53 mmol/mol (7%) and/or there has been a fall in HbA1c of 27 mmol/mol (2.5%) or more, in accordance with NICE CG17 |
| **Evidence for inclusion and threshold**  | **1**. Benkhadra K, Alahdab F, Tamhane S, Wang Z, Prokop LJ, Hirsch IB, et al. Real-time continuous glucose monitoring in type 1 diabetes: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Clinical endocrinology*. 2017;**86**(3):354-60. **2**. Lind M, Polonsky W, Hirsch IB, Heise T, Bolinder J, Dahlqvist S, et al. Continuous Glucose Monitoring vs Conventional Therapy for Glycemic Control in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Treated With Multiple Daily Insulin Injections: The GOLD Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2017;**317**(4):379-87. **3**. Kesavadev J, Vigersky R, Shin J, Pillai PBS, Shankar A, Sanal G, et al. Assessing the Therapeutic Utility of Professional Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Type 2 Diabetes Across Various Therapies: A Retrospective Evaluation. *Advances in therapy*. 2017. **4**. Beck RW, Riddlesworth T, Ruedy K, Ahmann A, Bergenstal R, Haller S, et al. Effect of Continuous Glucose Monitoring on Glycemic Control in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Using Insulin Injections: The DIAMOND Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2017;**317**(4):371-8. **5**. Ruedy KJ, Parkin CG, Riddlesworth TD, Graham C, Group DS. Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Older Adults With Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Using Multiple Daily Injections of Insulin: Results From the DIAMOND Trial. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296817704445. **6**. van Beers CAJ, DeVries JH, Kleijer SJ, Smits MM, Geelhoed-Duijvestijn PH, Kramer MHH, et al. Continuous glucose monitoring for patients with type 1 diabetes and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (IN CONTROL): a randomised, open-label, crossover trial. *The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology*. 2016;**4**(11):893-902. **7**. New JP, Ajjan R, Pfeiffer AFH, Freckmann G. Continuous glucose monitoring in people with diabetes: the randomized controlled Glucose Level Awareness in Diabetes Study (GLADIS). *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*. 2015;**32**(5):609-17. **8**. Battelino T, Liabat S, Veeze HJ, Castañeda J, Arrieta A, Cohen O. Routine use of continuous glucose monitoring in 10 501 people with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*. 2015;**32**(12):1568-74. **9**. Lewis KR, McCrone S, Deiriggi P, Bendre S. Effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring in children, adolescents, and young adults with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes. *Journal for specialists in pediatric nursing : JSPN*. 2017;**22**(1). **10**. Rachmiel M, Landau Z, Boaz M, Mazor Aronovitch K, Loewenthal N, Ben-Ami M, et al. The use of continuous glucose monitoring systems in a pediatric population with type 1 diabetes mellitus in real-life settings: the AWeSoMe Study Group experience. *Acta diabetologica*. 2015;**52**(2):323-9. **11**. Aleppo G, Ruedy KJ, Riddlesworth TD, Kruger DF, Peters AL, Hirsch I, et al. REPLACE-BG: A Randomized Trial Comparing Continuous Glucose Monitoring With and Without Routine Blood Glucose Monitoring in Adults With Well-Controlled Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes care*. 2017;**40**(4):538-45. **12**. Steineck I, Ranjan A, Nørgaard K, Schmidt S. Sensor-Augmented Insulin Pumps and Hypoglycemia Prevention in Type 1 Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017;**11**(1):50-8. **13**. van Beers CAJ, DeVries JH. Continuous Glucose Monitoring: Impact on Hypoglycemia. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2016;**10**(6):1251-8. **14**. Anhalt H. Limitations of Continuous Glucose Monitor Usage. *Diabetes technology & therapeutics*. 2016;**18**(3):115-7. **15**. Yeoh E, Choudhary P, Nwokolo M, Ayis S, Amiel SA. Interventions That Restore Awareness of Hypoglycemia in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Diabetes care*. 2015;**38**(8):1592-609. **16**. Biagi L, Hirata Bertachi A, Conget I, Quirós C, Giménez M, Ampudia-Blasco FJ, et al. Extensive Assessment of Blood Glucose Monitoring During Postprandial Period and Its Impact on Closed-Loop Performance. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296817714272. **17**. Song I-K, Lee J-H, Kang J-E, Park Y-H, Kim H-S, Kim J-T. Continuous glucose monitoring system in the operating room and intensive care unit: any difference according to measurement sites? *Journal of clinical monitoring and computing*. 2017;**31**(1):187-94. **18**. Andelin M, Kropff J, Matuleviciene V, Joseph JI, Attvall S, Theodorsson E, et al. Assessing the Accuracy of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) Calibrated With Capillary Values Using Capillary or Venous Glucose Levels as a Reference. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2016;**10**(4):876-84. **19**. Reiterer F, Polterauer P, Schoemaker M, Schmelzeisen-Redecker G, Freckmann G, Heinemann L, et al. Significance and Reliability of MARD for the Accuracy of CGM Systems. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017;**11**(1):59-67. **20**. Kirchsteiger H, Heinemann L, Freckmann G, Lodwig V, Schmelzeisen-Redeker G, Schoemaker M, et al. Performance Comparison of CGM Systems: MARD Values Are Not Always a Reliable Indicator of CGM System Accuracy. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2015;**9**(5):1030-40. 13 **21**. Cobelli C, Schiavon M, Dalla Man C, Basu A, Basu R. Interstitial Fluid Glucose Is Not Just a Shifted-in-Time but a Distorted Mirror of Blood Glucose: Insight from an In Silico Study. *Diabetes technology & therapeutics*. 2016;**18**(8):505-11. **22**. Sinha M, McKeon KM, Parker S, Goergen LG, Zheng H, El-Khatib FH, et al. A Comparison of Time Delay in Three Continuous Glucose Monitors for Adolescents and Adults. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296817704443. **23**. Facchinetti A. Continuous Glucose Monitoring Sensors: Past, Present and Future Algorithmic Challenges. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2016;**16**(12). **24**. Schmelzeisen-Redeker G, Schoemaker M, Kirchsteiger H, Freckmann G, Heinemann L, Del Re L. Time Delay of CGM Sensors: Relevance, Causes, and Countermeasures. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2015;**9**(5):1006-15. **25**. Siegmund T, Heinemann L, Kolassa R, Thomas A. Discrepancies Between Blood Glucose and Interstitial Glucose-Technological Artifacts or Physiology. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296817699637. **26**. Christiansen SC, Fougner AL, Stavdahl Ø, Kölle K, Ellingsen R, Carlsen SM. A Review of the Current Challenges Associated with the Development of an Artificial Pancreas by a Double Subcutaneous Approach. *Diabetes therapy : research, treatment and education of diabetes and related disorders*. 2017;**8**(3):489-506. **27**. Shapiro AR. The Safety of Nonadjunctive Use of Continuous Glucose Monitors for Insulin Dosing: Still Not Resolved. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296817704446. **28**. Shapiro AR. Nonadjunctive Use of Continuous Glucose Monitors for Insulin Dosing. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017:1932296816688303. **29**. Fonda SJ, Graham C, Munakata J, Powers JM, Price D, Vigersky RA. The Cost-Effectiveness of Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring (RT-CGM) in Type 2 Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2016;**10**(4):898-904. **30**. Roze S, Saunders R, Brandt AS, de Portu S, Papo NL, Jendle J. Health-economic analysis of real-time continuous glucose monitoring in people with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*. 2015;**32**(5):618-26. **31**. Roze S, Smith-Palmer J, Valentine WJ, Cook M, Jethwa M, de Portu S, et al. Long-term health economic benefits of sensor-augmented pump therapy vs continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion alone in type 1 diabetes: a U.K. perspective. *Journal of medical economics*. 2016;**19**(3):236-42. **32**. Chaugule S, Oliver N, Klinkenbijl B, Graham C. An economic evaluation of the introduction of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices for people with Type 1 diabetes and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia within North West London clinical commissioning groups in England. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2017;**34**:187. **33**. Moy FM, Ray A, Buckley BS, West HM. Techniques of monitoring blood glucose during pregnancy for women with pre-existing diabetes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2017;**6**:CD009613. **34**. Heinemann L, Devries JH. Evidence for continuous glucose monitoring: Sufficient for reimbursement? *Diabetic Medicine*. 2014;**31**(2):122-5. **35**. Castle JR, Jacobs PG. Nonadjunctive Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring for Diabetes Treatment Decisions. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2016;**10**(5):1169-73. **36**. Toschi E, Wolpert H. Utility of Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes. *Endocrinology and metabolism clinics of North America*. 2016;**45**(4):895-904. **37**. Peters AL, Ahmann AJ, Battelino T, Evert A, Hirsch IB, Murad MH, et al. Diabetes technology-continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion therapy and continuous glucose monitoring in adults: An endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2016;**101**(11):3922-37. **38**. McCarthy M. US doctors recommend continuous glucose monitoring for patients with type 1 diabetes. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2016;**354**:i5247. **39**. Wise J. Continuous glucose monitoring can benefit patients with type 1 diabetes. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2017;**356**:j364. **40**. Acerini C. The rise of technology in diabetes care. Not all that is new is necessarily better. *Pediatric diabetes*. 2016;**17**(3):168-73. **41**. Rodbard D. Continuous Glucose Monitoring: A Review of Successes, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Diabetes technology & therapeutics*. 2016;**18**. **42**. Pettus J, Edelman SV. Recommendations for Using Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring (rtCGM) Data for Insulin Adjustments in Type 1 Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2017;**11**(1):138-47. |